

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,904	09/09/2005	Jalal Hawari	PAT 789W-2	4810
42534 7590 02/12/2008 BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP			EXAMINER	
Gail C. Silver			HANOR, SERENA L	
1100-100 QU OTTAWA, OI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			1793	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipinfo@blgcanada.com gsilver@blgcanada.com akinsman@blgcanada.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/520,904 HAWARI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit SERENA L. HANOR 4116 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 December 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4.5 and 11-14 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3,6-10,15,16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/520,904 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

 Applicant's election without traverse of a microbial attack in the reply filed on 12/03/2007 is acknowledged.

Status of Application

- Claims 1-16 are pending in this application.
- Claims 4, 5, 11-14 are withdrawn as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/520,904

Art Unit: 1793

compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

5. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

6. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-3, 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Coleman et al.

Coleman et al. discloses the biodegradation of nitramines such as RDX. The Rhodococcus sp. strain DN22 attacks an N-NO₂ group, causing an oxidative denitration

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/520,904

Art Unit: 1793

(p. 1165, col. 2). This denitration can occur at more than one N-NO₂ group. Given that nitrite can be used as a sole source of N by strain DN22, denitration will occur until DN22 has sufficient growth substrate (Abstract).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/520,904

Art Unit: 1793

12. Claims 10, 15, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Van Aken et al. in view of Burlage et al.

Van Aken et al. discloses the anaerobic biodegradation of RDX (p. 60). A

possible degradation path proposes formaminomethylnitramine $\mbox{NO}_2\mbox{NHCH}_2\mbox{NHCHO}$ as

an intermediate decomposition product (p. 61).

Van Aken et al. differs from the instant invention in that it does not disclose the

use of a microbe such as Clostridium, Rhodococcus, or Aspergillus in anaerobic

conditions.

Burlage et al. discloses a method for the detection of explosives such as RDX

using a biosensor. The biosensor can be adapted for use in anaerobic environments

(col. 12 lines 50-51). In anaerobic conditions, an anaerobe such as Clostridium is the

preferred host strain (col. 12 lines 51-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to modify Van Aken et al. in view of Burlage et al. to use an anaerobic microbe

such as Clostridium to degrade biologically RDX.

One would have been motivated to make such a modification in order to avoid

the decomposition of RDX into its nitroso products, as they are believed to be

carcinogenic.

Conclusion

13. Claims 1-3, 6-10, 15, 16 have been rejected.

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Nicklin et al., Bender et al., Quazzani et al., Spain et al., Coleman

Application/Control Number: 10/520,904

Art Unit: 1793

et al., McCormick et al., Hawari et al. (Characterization), Binks et al., Hawari et al.

(Microbial), and Fournier et al.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to SERENA L. HANOR whose telephone number is

(571)270-3593. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8:00

AM - 5:30 PM FST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vickie Kim can be reached on (571) 272-0579. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SLH

/Timothy C Vanoy/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793